

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,834	09/17/2003	Jae-Hwan Hyun	1349.1295	2695
21171 7590 02/19/2008 STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER	
SUITE 700			MORRISON	THOMAS A
1201 NEW YO WASHINGTO	ORK AVENUE, N.W. ON DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
······································	11, 50 2000		3653	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/663,834	HYUN, JAE-HWAN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
THOMAS A. MORRISON	3653	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C, § 133).

	reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
Status	
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 June 2007</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 2-18.20 and 23-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2-18.20 and 23-34 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicat	ion Papers
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Cill Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

1)	ш	Notice	

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4, 6-7 and 9-10, as best understood, are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0006289 in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,292,636 (Kwon).

Regarding claim 2, Fig. 1 and numbered paragraphs [0064] and [0069] of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0006289 disclose a paper detecting apparatus of an image forming machine having a duplex printing function comprising:

a first sensor (126) that detects feeding of a material along a duplex printing paper path (see e.g., numbered paragraph [0069]); and

a second sensor (102) that detects the presence or absence of paper in a paper feed cassette (101)(see e.g., numbered paragraph [0064]). However, U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0006289 does not specifically disclose an actuator and paper sensor arrangement as claimed.

The Kwon patent discloses that it is well known to provide an actuator (Fig. 2) pivotably disposed above a paper feed cassette (including 60 and 61) and below a printing paper path (P in Fig. 3), that is moved by a paper; and

Art Unit: 3653

a paper sensor (10) disposed next to the actuator (Fig. 2) that senses movement of the actuator (Fig. 2) and generates a signal, wherein the actuator (Fig. 2) pivots in a predetermined angle when paper is being fed along the printing paper path (P in Fig. 3), and the paper sensor (10) generates a signal upon sensing the actuator (Fig. 2) pivot;

wherein the actuator (Fig. 2) comprises:

a hinge shaft (40);

a center (near 40) pivotably assembled around the hinge shaft (40);

a first lever (i.e., left-hand arm of element 30) extending from the center (near 40) that operates the paper sensor (10) when no paper is stacked in the paper feed cassette:

a second lever (i.e., right-hand arm of element 30) at a predetermined angle from the first lever (i.e., left-hand arm of element 30), that operates the paper sensor (10) when paper is fed along the printing paper path; and

a third lever (20), extending from the center (near 40) and away from the first and second levers into a paper feed cassette (including 60 and 61) to determine if sheets are stacked in the paper feed cassette (including 60 and 61) and weighing more than the combined weight of the first and second levers to have a tendency to pivot downward.

Moreover, Kwon discloses that it is well known to perform total sensing of both paper loading and paper transporting in a cassette with only one sensor, for the purpose of reducing cost. See e.g., column 4, lines 45-50 and the Abstract. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Art Unit: 3653

substitute an actuator and a single sensor for the two separate sensors (126 and 102) of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0006289, for the purpose of performing total sensing of both paper loading and paper transporting in a cassette with only one sensor at reduced cost, as taught by column 4, lines 45-50 and the Abstract of Kwon. Providing an actuator and a single sensor in the environment of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0006289, in a manner as taught by Kwon, will result in the actuator being positioned above the cassette and below the duplex printing paper path of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0006289, because Kwon teaches positioning the actuator between a paper path and a cassette. See e.g., Fig. 3 of Kwon. With regard to the recitation "a first lever extending from the center that operates the paper sensor when no paper is stacked in the paper feed cassette" in claim 2, the bolded portion of this recitation is a conditional limitation that need not ever occur. Similarly, in the recitation "a second lever at a predetermined angle from the first lever, that operates the paper sensor when paper is fed along the duplex printing paper path" of claim 2, the bolded portion of this recitation is a conditional limitation that need not ever occur. Thus, it is the examiner's position that all of the limitations of claim 2 are met by U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0006289 in view of Kwon.

Regarding claim 3, Figs. 2-4 of Kwon show that the predetermined angle is determined so the second lever (i.e., right-hand arm of element 30) does not operate the paper sensor (10) when the third lever (20) is in contact with paper on the paper feed cassette (including 60 and 61).

Art Unit: 3653

Regarding claim 4, Fig. 4 of Kwon shows that the paper feed cassette (including 60 and 61) has a slot (61) that allows the third lever (20) to pass through.

Regarding claim 6, column 4, lines 40-45 of Kwon disclose that the paper sensor (10) is a photo sensor.

Regarding claim 7, Fig. 1 and numbered paragraphs [0064] and [0069] of U.S.

Patent Publication No. 2002/0006289 disclose a paper detecting apparatus of an image forming machine, including a duplex printing paper path, comprising:

a first paper sensor (126) with a sensing area that detects feeding of a material along a duplex printing paper path (see e.g., numbered paragraph [0069]);

a second paper sensor (102) with a sensing area that detects the presence or absence of paper in a paper feed cassette (101)(see e.g., numbered paragraph [00641);

However, U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0006289 does not specifically disclose an actuator arrangement as claimed. Also, U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0006289 discloses a paper pressing plate (below P in Fig. 1) of a paper feed cassette (101), but does not specifically disclose that such paper pressing plate has a slot, as claimed.

The Kwon patent discloses that it is well known to provide an actuator (Fig. 2), having a first lever (left-hand arm of element 30), a second lever (right-hand arm of element 30), a third lever (20) and a center (near 40), pivotably assembled on a hinge shaft (40);

a paper sensor (10), having a sensing area; and

Art Unit: 3653

a paper pressing plate (60) of a paper feed cassette (including 60 and 61), the paper pressing plate having a slot (61);

wherein.

the second lever (right-hand arm of element 30) extends from the center (near 40) and interfered by a paper when the paper moves along a printing paper path (P in Fig. 3); the first lever (left-hand arm of element 30) extends from the center (near 40) at a predetermined angle from the second lever (right-hand arm of element 30); and the third lever (20) extends from the center (near 40) and away from the first and second levers and is heavier than the combined weight of the first and second levers, wherein the third lever (20) pivots downward to be inserted into the slot (61) so the first lever (left-hand arm of element 30) is normally located inside the sensing area of the paper sensor (10) when no paper is stacked in the paper feed cassette (including 60 and 61), and the second lever (right-hand arm of element 30) pivots into the sensing area of the paper sensor (10), and then escapes from the sensing area of the paper sensor (10) due to the weight of the third lever (20) when paper moves along the printing paper path (P in Fig. 3).

Moreover, Kwon discloses that it is well known to perform total sensing of both paper loading and paper transporting in a cassette with only one sensor, for the purpose of reducing cost. See e.g., column 4, lines 45-50 and the Abstract. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute an actuator and a single sensor arrangement for the two separate sensors (126 and 102) of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0006289, for the purpose of

Application/Control Number: 10/663,834 Page 7

Art Unit: 3653

performing total sensing of both paper loading and paper transporting in a cassette with only one sensor at reduced cost, as taught by column 4, lines 45-50 and the Abstract of Kwon. Providing an actuator and a single sensor arrangement including a paper pressing plate with a slot in the environment of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0006289, in a manner as taught by Kwon, will result in the actuator being positioned under the duplex printing paper path and above the cassette of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0006289 with a hinge shaft of the actuator located under the duplex paper printing path, because Kwon teaches positioning an actuator under a paper path and above a cassette with the hinge shaft located between the paper printing path and the cassette. See e.g., Fig. 3 of Kwon. With regard to the recitation "wherein the third lever pivots downward to be inserted into the slot so the first lever is normally located inside the sensing area of the paper sensor when no paper is stacked in the paper feed cassette, and the second lever pivots into the sensing area of the paper sensor, and then escapes from the sensing area of the paper sensor due to the weight of the third lever when paper moves along the duplex printing paper path" in claim 7, the bolded portions of this recitation are conditional limitations that need not ever occur. Thus, it is the examiner's position that all of the limitations of claim 7 are met by U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0006289 in view of Kwon

Regarding claim 9. Fig. 3 of Kwon shows that the second lever (right-hand arm of element 30) is not located inside the sensing area of the paper sensor (10) when the

Application/Control Number: 10/663,834
Art Unit: 3653

third lever (20) is in contact with paper stacked in the paper feed cassette (including 60 and 61).

Regarding claim 10, lines 40-45 of Kwon disclose that the paper sensor (10) is a photo sensor.

Claims 2-18, 20, and 23-34, as best understood, are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art Fig. 1 and numbered paragraphs [0003] – [0020] of the instant application in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,292,636 (Kwon).

Regarding claim 2, Fig. 1 and numbered paragraphs [0003] – [0020] of the instant application disclose a paper detecting apparatus of an image forming machine having a duplex printing function comprising:

a first sensor (20) that detects feeding of a material along a duplex printing paper path (see e.g., numbered paragraph [0006]); and

a second sensor (10) that detects the presence or absence of paper in a paper feed cassette (30)(see e.g., numbered paragraph [0006]). However, these portions of the instant application do not specifically disclose an actuator and paper sensor arrangement as claimed.

The Kwon patent discloses that it is well known to provide an actuator (Fig. 2) pivotably disposed above a paper feed cassette (including 60 and 61) and below a printing paper path (P in Fig. 3), that is moved by a paper; and

a paper sensor (10) disposed next to the actuator (Fig. 2) that senses movement of the actuator (Fig. 2) and generates a signal, wherein the actuator (Fig. 2) pivots in a

Art Unit: 3653

predetermined angle when paper is being fed along the printing paper path (P in Fig. 3), and the paper sensor (10) generates a signal upon sensing the actuator (Fig. 2) pivot;

wherein the actuator (Fig. 2) comprises:

- a hinge shaft (40);
- a center (near 40) pivotably assembled around the hinge shaft (40);
- a first lever (i.e., left-hand arm of element 30) extending from the center (near 40) that operates the paper sensor (10) when no paper is stacked in the paper feed cassette;

a second lever (i.e., right-hand arm of element 30) at a predetermined angle from the first lever (i.e., left-hand arm of element 30), that operates the paper sensor (10) when paper is fed along the printing paper path; and

a third lever (20), extending from the center (near 40) and away from the first and second levers into a paper feed cassette (including 60 and 61) and weighing more than the combined weight of the first and second levers to have a tendency to pivot downward.

Moreover, Kwon discloses that it is well known to perform total sensing of both paper loading and paper transporting in a cassette with only one sensor, for the purpose of reducing cost. See e.g., column 4, lines 45-50 and the Abstract. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute an actuator and a single sensor for the two separate sensors (20 and 10) of the apparatus in Fig. 1 of the instant application, for the purpose of performing total sensing of both paper loading and paper transporting in a cassette with only one sensor

Art Unit: 3653

at reduced cost, as taught by column 4, lines 45-50 and the Abstract of Kwon.

Providing an actuator and a single sensor in the environment of the apparatus of Fig. 1 of the instant application, in a manner as taught by Kwon, will result in the actuator being positioned above the cassette and below the duplex printing paper path of Fig. 1 of the instant application, because Kwon teaches positioning the actuator between a paper path and a cassette. See e.g., Fig. 3 of Kwon. With regard to the recitation "a first lever extending from the center that operates the paper sensor when no paper is stacked in the paper feed cassette" in claim 2, the bolded portion of this recitation is a conditional limitation that need not ever occur. Similarly, in the recitation "a second lever at a predetermined angle from the first lever, that operates the paper sensor when paper is fed along the duplex printing paper path" of claim 2, the bolded portion of this recitation is a conditional limitation that need not ever occur. Thus, it is the examiner's position that all of the limitations of claim 2 are met by Fig. 1 of the instant application in view of Kwon.

Regarding claim 3, Figs. 2-4 of Kwon show that the predetermined angle is determined so the second lever (i.e., right-hand arm of element 30) does not operate the paper sensor (10) when the third lever (20) is in contact with paper on the paper feed cassette (including 60 and 61).

Regarding claim 4, Fig. 4 of Kwon shows that the paper feed cassette (including 60 and 61) has a slot (61) that allows the third lever (20) to pass through.

Regarding claim 5, Fig. 1 of the instant application shows a stopper (including 42) formed on the duplex printing paper path (40) limiting pivoting space of the actuator.

Art Unit: 3653

Regarding claim 6, column 4, lines 40-45 of Kwon disclose that the paper sensor (10) is a photo sensor.

Regarding claim 7, Fig. 1 and numbered paragraphs [0003] – [0020] of the instant application disclose a paper detecting apparatus of an image forming machine, including a duplex printing paper path (40), comprising:

a first paper sensor (20) with a sensing area that detects feeding of a material along a duplex printing paper path (see e.g., numbered paragraph [006]);

a second paper sensor (10) with a sensing area that detects the presence or absence of paper in a paper feed cassette (30)(see e.g., numbered paragraph [006]); and

a paper pressing plate (32), the paper pressing plate (32) having a slot (34).

However, the instant application does not specifically disclose an actuator and paper sensor arrangement as claimed.

The Kwon patent discloses that it is well known to provide an actuator (Fig. 2), having a first lever (left-hand arm of element 30), a second lever (right-hand arm of element 30), a third lever (20) and a center (near 40), pivotably assembled on a hinge shaft (40); and

a paper sensor (10), having a sensing area;

wherein,

the second lever (right-hand arm of element 30) extends from the center (near 40) and interfered by a paper when the paper moves along a printing paper path (P in Fig. 3); the first lever (left-hand arm of element 30) extends from the center (near 40) at

Art Unit: 3653

a predetermined angle from the second lever (right-hand arm of element 30); and the third lever (20) extends from the center (near 40) and away from the first and second levers and is heavier than the combined weight of the first and second levers, wherein the third lever (20) pivots downward to be inserted into the slot (61) so the first lever (left-hand arm of element 30) is normally located inside the sensing area of the paper sensor (10) when no paper is stacked in the paper feed cassette (including 60 and 61), and the second lever (right-hand arm of element 30) pivots into the sensing area of the paper sensor (10), and then escapes from the sensing area of the paper sensor (10) due to the weight of the third lever (20) when paper moves along the printing paper path (P in Fig. 3).

Moreover, Kwon discloses that it is well known to perform total sensing of both paper loading and paper transporting in a cassette with only one sensor, for the purpose of reducing cost. See e.g., column 4, lines 45-50 and the Abstract. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute an actuator and a single sensor arrangement for the two separate sensors (20 and 10) of Fig. 1 of the instant application, for the purpose of performing total sensing of both paper loading and paper transporting in a cassette with only one sensor at reduced cost, as taught by column 4, lines 45-50 and the Abstract of Kwon.

Providing an actuator and a single sensor arrangement in the environment of Fig. 1 of the instant application, in a manner as taught by Kwon, will result in the actuator being positioned under the duplex printing paper path and above the cassette of Fig. 1 of the instant application with a hinge shaft of the actuator located under the duplex paper

Art Unit: 3653

printing path, because Kwon teaches positioning an actuator under a paper path and above a cassette with the hinge shaft located between the paper printing path and the cassette. See e.g., Fig. 3 of Kwon. With regard to the recitation "wherein the third lever pivots downward to be inserted into the slot so the first lever is normally located inside the sensing area of the paper sensor when no paper is stacked in the paper feed cassette, and the second lever pivots into the sensing area of the paper sensor, and then escapes from the sensing area of the paper sensor due to the weight of the third lever when paper moves along the duplex printing paper path" in claim 7, the bolded portions of this recitation are conditional limitations that need not ever occur. Thus, it is the examiner's position that all of the limitations of claim 7 are met by Fig. 1 of the instant application in view of Kwon.

Regarding claim 8, the recitation "wherein a rear end of a slot formed in the duplex printing paper path interferes with the second lever when the first lever is located inside the sensing area of the paper sensor" is a conditional limitation that need not ever occur. Thus, it is the examiner's position that all of the limitations of claim 8 are met by Fig. 1 and numbered paragraphs [0003] – [0020] of the instant application in view of Kwon.

Regarding claim 9. Fig. 3 of Kwon shows that the second lever (right-hand arm of element 30) is not located inside the sensing area of the paper sensor (10) when the third lever (20) is in contact with paper stacked in the paper feed cassette (including 60 and 61).

Art Unit: 3653

Regarding claim 10, lines 40-45 of Kwon disclose that the paper sensor (10) is a photo sensor.

Regarding claim 11, Fig. 1 and numbered paragraphs [0003]-[0020] of the instant application disclose a paper detecting apparatus of an image forming machine (Fig. 1), including a duplex printing paper path (40), having a first slot (42), a paper feed cassette (30) in which paper is normally stacked, a first paper sensor (20) with a sensing area that detects feeding of a material along a duplex printing paper path (see e.g., numbered paragraph [006]), and a second paper sensor (10) with a sensing area that detects the presence or absence of paper in a paper feed cassette (30)(see e.g., numbered paragraph [006]), comprising:

a paper pressing plate (32) having a second slot (34). However, the instant application does not specifically disclose an actuator and paper sensor arrangement as claimed.

The Kwon patent discloses that it is well known to provide an actuator (Fig. 2), having a center (near 40), on a hinge shaft (40);

a first lever (left-hand arm of element 30) extending in a first direction from the center (near 40) of the actuator (Fig. 2);

a second lever (right-hand arm of element 30) extending in a second direction from the center (near 40) of the actuator (Fig. 2) such that a free end of the second lever extends into a printing paper path (P in Fig. 3); and

a third lever (20) extending in a third direction from the center (near 40) of the actuator (Fig. 2), wherein the third lever (20) is heavier than a combined weight of the

Art Unit: 3653

first lever (left-hand arm of element 30) and the second lever (right-hand arm of element 30), is inserted into a slot (61) of a paper pressing plate (60) when no paper is stacked in a paper feed cassette (including 60 and 61), causes the actuator (Fig. 2) to pivot such that the first lever (left-hand arm of element 30) enters the sensing area of a sensor (10), is light enough so as to be moved by the impact of a paper moving along the printing paper path (P in Fig. 3) against the second lever (right-hand arm of element 30), and allows the actuator (Fig. 2) to pivot such that the second lever (right-hand arm of element 30) enters the sensing area of the sensor (10) when the paper moves along the printing paper path.

Moreover, Kwon discloses that it is well known to perform total sensing of both paper loading and paper transporting in a cassette with only one sensor, for the purpose of reducing cost. See e.g., column 4, lines 45-50 and the Abstract. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute an actuator and a single sensor for the two separate sensors (20 and 10) of Fig. 1 of the instant application, for the purpose of performing total sensing of both paper loading and paper transporting in a cassette with only one sensor at reduced cost, as taught by column 4, lines 45-50 and the Abstract of Kwon. Providing an actuator and a single sensor arrangement in the environment of Fig. 1 of the instant application, in a manner as taught by Kwon, will result in the actuator being positioned in the duplex printing paper path (40) and in the cassette (30) of Fig. 1 of the instant application with the hinge shaft located under the duplex paper printing path, because Kwon teaches positioning the actuator in a paper path and in a cassette with the hinge

Art Unit: 3653

shaft located between the paper printing path and the cassette. See e.g., Fig. 3 of Kwon. Moreover, the second free end of the second lever will extend into the first slot (42) of the duplex paper printing path (40) in Fig. 1 of the instant application, because Fig. 1 of the instant application teaches that the lever that senses paper conveyed along the duplex paper printing path (40) is positioned in the slot (42).

With regard to the recitation "wherein the third lever is heavier than a combined weight of the first lever and the second lever, is inserted into the second slot when no paper is stacked in the paper feed cassette, causes the actuator to pivot such that the first lever enters the sensing area of the sensor, is light enough so as to be moved by the impact of a paper moving along the duplex printing paper path against the second lever, and allows the actuator to pivot such that the second lever enters the sensing area of the sensor when the paper moves along the printing paper path" in claim 11, at least the bolded portions of this recitation are conditional limitations that need not ever occur. Thus, it is the examiner's position that all of the limitations of claim 11 are met by Fig. 1 and numbered paragraphs [0003] – [0020] of the instant application in view of Kwon.

Regarding claim 12, Fig. 2 of Kwon shows that the actuator (Fig. 2) is shaped like a letter "Y".

Regarding claim 13, Fig. 1 of the instant application shows that the first slot (42) comprises a rear end.

Regarding claim 14, as best understood, Fig. 1 of the instant application shows that the first slot (42) has a length so the rear end of the slot acts as a stopper for the

Art Unit: 3653

second lever to limit a pivoting space of the actuator when no paper is stacked in the paper feed cassette. Regarding the recitation "wherein the slot in the duplex printing path has a length so the rear end of the slot acts as a stopper for the second lever to limit a pivoting space of the actuator when no paper is stacked in the paper feed cassette", the bolded portion of this recitation is a conditional limitation that need not ever occur. Thus, it is the examiner's position that all of the limitations of claim 14 are met by Fig. 1 and numbered paragraphs [0003] – [0020] of the instant application in view of Kwon.

Regarding claim 15, Fig. 3 of Kwon shows that the third lever (20) maintains contact with an upper surface of paper stacked in a paper feed cassette (including 60 and 61).

Regarding claim 16, Figs. 2-4 of Kwon show that the sensor (10) is normally between the first and second levers when the paper is stacked in a paper feed cassette (including 60 and 61). Regarding the recitation "wherein the sensor is normally between the first and second levers when the paper is stacked in a paper feed cassette", this recitation is a conditional limitation that need not ever occur. Thus, it is the examiner's position that all of the limitations of claim 16 are met by Fig. 1 and numbered paragraphs [0003] – [0020] of the instant application in view of Kwon.

Regarding claim 17, as best understood, Fig. 3 of Kwon shows that the sensor (10) includes a limit switch (i.e., sensor 10 acts as a switch and limits the movement of the first lever). See also column 4, lines 40-41 of Kwon.

Art Unit: 3653

Regarding claim 18, column 4, lines 40-45 of Kwon disclose that the sensor (10) comprises a photo-sensor.

Regarding claim 20, Fig. 3 of Kwon shows that when the paper is stacked in a paper feed cassette (including 60 and 61), the first and second levers are normally forced out of the sensing area of the sensor (10)(e.g., the first and second levers are forced out of the sensing area of the sensor (10) when paper contacts the second lever as such paper is conveyed along path P, as best shown in Fig. 3 of Kwon).

Regarding claim 23, Fig. 4 of Kwon shows a front end of the second slot (61) in the paper pressing plate (60) to stop the third lever (20) from pivoting.

Regarding claim 24, the recitation "wherein, when the first lever enters the paper sensing area of the sensor, the sensor generates a signal that no paper remains in the paper feed cassette" is a conditional limitation that need not ever occur. Thus, it is the examiner's position that all of the limitations of claim 24 are met by Fig. 1 and numbered paragraphs [0003] – [0020] of the instant application in view of Kwon.

Regarding claim 25, column 4, lines 13-40 of Kwon disclose that the image forming machine receives the signal from the sensor (10), and compares a length of the signal with a standard length.

Regarding claim 26, as best understood, column 4, lines 13-40 and Fig. 5 of Kwon disclose that when the length of the signal is shorter than the standard length, the image forming machine judges that the paper is being fed along the printing paper path. Such device would be provided in the duplex printing path of Fig. 1 of the instant application and would function in the same manner.

Art Unit: 3653

Regarding claim 27, Fig. 5 of Kwon shows that when the length of the signal is longer than the standard length, the image forming machine judges that no paper remains in the paper feed cassette. In particular, any time the switch is determined to be off for either a long or short time period, the image forming machine judges that no paper remains in the paper feed cassette.

Regarding claim 28, the recitation "wherein, when the paper moves along the duplex printing paper path after being fed into the image forming machine, the paper impacts the second lever, thereby causing the second lever to pivot into the sensing area of the sensor" is a conditional limitation that need not ever occur. Thus, it is the examiner's position that all of the limitations of claim 28 are met by Fig. 1 and numbered paragraphs [0003] – [0020] of the instant application in view of Kwon.

Regarding claim 29, the recitation, "wherein, when the impact between the paper and the second lever dissipates, the third lever causes the second lever to pivot out of the sensing area of the sensor" is a conditional limitation that need not ever occur.

Thus, it is the examiner's position that all of the limitations of claim 29 are met by Fig. 1 and numbered paragraphs [0003] – [0020] of the instant application in view of Kwon.

Regarding claim 30, the recitation "wherein during the period when the second lever is inside the sensing area of the sensor, the sensor generates a signal that the paper is being fed along the duplex printing paper path" is a conditional limitation that need not ever occur. Thus, it is the examiner's position that all of the limitations of claim 30 are met by Fig. 1 and numbered paragraphs [0003] – [0020] of the instant application in view of Kwon.

Art Unit: 3653

Regarding claim 31, column 4, lines 13-40 of Kwon disclose that the image forming machine receives the signal from the sensor (10), and compares a length of the signal with a standard length.

Regarding claim 32, Fig. 5 of Kwon shows that when the length of the signal is longer than the standard length, the image forming machine judges that no paper remains in the paper feed cassette. In particular, any time the switch is determined to be off for either a long or short time period, the image forming machine judges that no paper remains in the paper feed cassette.

Regarding claim 33, as best understood, column 4, lines 13-40 and Fig. 5 of Kwon disclose that when the length of the signal is shorter than the standard length, the image forming machine judges that the paper is being fed along the printing paper path. Such device would be provided in the duplex printing path of Fig. 1 of the instant application and would function in the same manner.

Regarding claim 34, the recitation "wherein, when a rear end of the paper being fed along the duplex printing paper path leaves the first slot, the second lever enters the first slot" is a conditional limitation that need not ever occur. Thus, it is the examiner's position that all of the limitations of claim 34 are met by Fig. 1 and numbered paragraphs [0003] – [0020] of the instant application in view of Kwon.

Page 21

Application/Control Number: 10/663,834

Art Unit: 3653

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 8/29/2007 and 11/15/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues

Independent claim 2 recites, inter alia, a third lever ... weighing more than a combined weight of a first and a second lever....

Independent claim 7 recites, inter alia, that a third lever ... is heavier than the combined weight of the first and second levers....

Independent claim 11 recites, inter alia, that a third lever is heavier than a combined weight of a first lever and a second lever....

combined weight of a first lever and a second lever....

None of the asserted citations, alone or in combination, teach or suggest at least the aforementioned features of independent claims 2, 7, and 11. Accordingly, without conceding the propriety of the asserted combinations, it is respectfully submitted that the asserted combinations are likewise deficient, even in view of the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art. The first primary citation to Islammietal. relates to an image forming apparatus. Acknowledging the absence of a disclosure of features of an actuator, the Office Action relies on the secondary citation to Kwon to provide this necessary disclosure. (Office Action, page 4). Also, the Office Action concedes that the second primary citation to the conventional image forming machine does not disclose an actuator as claimed. (Office Action, page 13). Here again, the Office relies on the secondary citation to Movements-worth and we worth of the conventional image forming machine does not disclose an actuator as claimed. (Office Action, page 13). Here again, the Office relies on the secondary citation to Movements-worth.

The secondary citation to <u>Kwon</u> relates to a paper detecting apparatus of an electrophotographic processor and discusses a paper detecting apparatus that includes first and second respective actuators (20 and 30). The Office Action characterizes a part of the second actuator as a first lever, another part of the second actuator as a second lever, and the first actuator as a third lever. (<u>Office Action</u>, pages 5 and 11). And, without identifying support, contends that this alleged third lever weighs more than the alleged first and second levers. This latter contention is respectfully traversed

A review of <u>Kwon</u> reveals that <u>Kwon</u> is silent as the respective weights of the first and second actuators. <u>Kwon</u> is also silent as to the relative weights of these actuators. Thus, the absence of any identification of support in the Office Action, for the contention that <u>Kwon</u> discloses that the first actuator 20 weighs more than the second actuator 30 is not surprising. In sum, <u>Kwon</u> does not support the Office's contention and rails to remedy the acknowledged deficiencies in the primary citations to Takami et al. and the conventional image forming machines.

Art Unit: 3653

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of independent claims 2, 7, and 11 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 are respectfully requested.

In the event that the Office maintains these rejections, Applicants respectfully request, in the interests of compact prosecution, that the Office identify on the record and with sufficient specificity to support a prima_facie case, where in Kwon the aforementioned features of independent claims 2 and 7 can be found, as the Office is expressly required to do.

Claim 2 recites "a third lever, extending from the center and away from the first and second levers into the paper feed cassette to determine if sheets are stacked in the paper feed cassette and weighing more than the combined weight of the first and second levers to have a tendency to pivot downward." Claim 7 recites "the third lever extends from the center and away from the first and second levers and is heavier than the combined weight of the first and second levers". Claim 11 recites "wherein the third lever is heavier than a combined weight of the first lever and the second lever".

The Kwon patent is relied upon to disclose an actuator with a first lever (i.e., left-hand arm of element 30), a second lever (i.e., right-hand arm of element 30) and a third lever (20), as claimed. Figs. 3-4 of Kwon show that the third lever (20) has a tendency to pivot downward into a slot 61. See e.g., Fig. 4 of Kwon. Also, Figs. 3-4 of Kwon show or at least strongly suggest that the third lever (20) is heavier than the combined weight of the first lever (i.e., left-hand arm of element 30) and the second lever (i.e., right-hand arm of element 30). In particular, Figs. 3-4 of Kwon do not show different material types for the first, second and third levers (e.g., no different cross-hatching is shown). Rather, the first, second and third levers appear to be made from the same type of material. Also, the side views of the fist, second and third levers shown in Figs.

Art Unit: 3653

3-4 of Kwon show that the cross-sectional area of the third lever is larger than the combined cross-sectional areas of the first and second levers. In addition, Fig. 2 of Kwon shows the thicknesses of the fist, second and third levers. Based on these thicknesses and cross-sectional areas of the first, second and third levers shown in Figs. 2-4 of Kwon, the third lever appears to have more material than the combined material of the first and second levers. As such, Figs. 2-4 of Kwon show or at least strongly suggest that the third lever is heavier than the combined weight of the first and second levers. Thus, all of the limitations of claims 2, 7 and 11 are met by the cited combinations of references.

Next, applicant argues

Lastly, it is noted that the Office appear to imply that various expressly recited features may not have been given full patentable weight because they were considered "conditional limitations that need not ever occur." Applicants firstly submit that such an interpretation of the claims would be contrary to the instructions of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP). Indeed, MPEP § 2143.03 expressly instructs that "[t]o establish prima facie obviousness of a claimed invention, all the claim limitations must be taught or suggested by the prior art" and that "[a]II words in a claim must be considered in judging the patentability of that claim against the prior art." And, it is noted that the Office interestingly omits any support for this curious interpretation of the claims. Secondly, the Office's characterization of the subject features of the claims as "conditional" is incorrect. The subject features, bolded in the Office Action for clarity, are express functional features that define how structures recited by the claims operate. To follow the Office's logic, a recitation of "a spring to bias a widget upward when the spring is compressed" would be anticipated by any spring, regardless of function. Such a result is in error. In the interest of compact prosecution, in the event that the Office chooses to maintain this claim interpretation. Applicants respectfully request that the Office identify on the record legal support for its position, which support is not distinguishable on facts.

Page 24

Application/Control Number: 10/663,834

Art Unit: 3653

In response, MPEP, section 904.01 states

904.01 Analysis of Claims

The breadth of the claims in the application should always be carefully noted; that is, the examiner should be fully aware of what the claims do not call for, as well as what they do require. During patent examination, the claims are given the broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification. See In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 44 USPQ2d 1023 (Fed. Cir. 1997). See MPEP § 2111 - § 2116.01 for case law pertinent to claim analysis.

Regarding the rejections of claims 2, 7, 11, 14 and 34 above, which involve "conditional limitations", the examiner has determined what these claims do not call for according to MPEP, section 904.01. If a condition never occurs in a "conditional limitation" of a claim, such conditional limitation is not called for in the claim. As one working example, claim 2 recites, "a second lever at a predetermined angle from the first lever, that operates the paper sensor when paper is fed along the duplex printing paper path". The examiner pointed out the structural limitations (including the second lever, the first lever and the paper sensor) in the rejection of claim 2 above. However, the bolded portion of this recitation in claim 2 includes a "conditional limitation" that need not ever occur. For example, if no duplex printing is ever performed (i.e., no paper is ever fed along the duplex printing paper path), the conditional "when" limitation never occurs. Stated differently, if the apparatus is only used to perform simplex printing (i.e., printing on only one side of the paper), no paper is ever fed along the duplex printing path. Thus, the examiner does not have to show that the second lever operates the paper sensor when paper is fed along the duplex printing paper path, since this condition never occurs. This is the broadest reasonable

Page 25

Application/Control Number: 10/663,834

Art Unit: 3653

interpretation of claim 2 in view of MPEP, section 904.01. The examiner takes similar positions with regard to the "conditional limitations" in claims 7, 11, 14 and 34, which need not ever occur. The rejections of these claims are outlined above.

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas A. Morrison whose telephone number is (571) 272-7221. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Mackey can be reached on (571) 272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,834 Page 26

Art Unit: 3653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Patrick H. Mackey/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3653

02/07/2008